

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/899,764	07/0:	5/2001	Farah J. Munayyer	PD0873Q4	5580
24265	7590	09/06/2002			
		CORPORATION	EXAMINER		
PATENT DE 2000 GALLO		r (K-6-1, 1990) ROAD		RAYMOND, RICHARD L	
KENILWOR	TH, NJ 070	33-0530	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1624	$\overline{}$
				DATE MAILED: 09/06/2002	φ.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/899,764	MUNAYYER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Richard L. Raymond	1624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	Pan Pan						
4) Claim(s) <u>1,6-12 and 23-34</u> is/are pending in th							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1,6-12 and 23-34</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r election requirement						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accep	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/899,764

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgement of Preliminary Amendment

- 1. The preliminary amendment of February 12, 2002 canceled claims 2-5 and 13-22 and added claims 23-34. Accordingly, the claims under consideration are claims 1, 6-12 and 23-34.
- 2. As noted in the Remarks, the claims of the present application correspond substantially to those in previously allowed parent Serial No. 09/616,866, now abandoned. Upon review and reconsideration of record, however, the following new ground of rejection is seen necessitated.

Obviousness-type Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1, 6-12 and 23-34 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of parent U.S. Patent No. 6,132,758. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because no patentable difference is seen in the present stabilized antihistamine

Application/Control Number: 09/899,764

Art Unit: 1624

syrup (descarboethoxyloratadine with an aminopolycarboxylic acid) compared to the stabilized antihistamine syrup of the patent (loratadine with an aminopolycarboxylic acid). Note that the present specification acknowledges the close structural and functional relationship of the two antihistamines, the present descarboethoxyloratadine being an antihistaminic active metabolite of loratadine. It would be expected that a stabilizer for one would be a stabilizer for the other.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. The present antihistaminic syrup stabilized with an aminopolycarboxylic acid is neither disclosed nor taught in the prior art. See, also, the discussion in the prosecution of the parent patent.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard L. Raymond whose telephone number is (703) 308-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (9:30AM-8:00PM)).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund J. Shah can be reached on 305-4716. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1624

rr

September 5, 2002